Official Draft Public Notice Version **January 14, 2022**The findings, determinations, and assertions contained in this document are not final and subject to change following the public comment period.

# FACT SHEET AND STATEMENT OF BASIS BEAR RIVER CITY LAGOONS RENEWAL PERMIT: DISCHARGE UPDES PERMIT NUMBER: UT0020311 MINOR MUNICIPAL

# **FACILITY CONTACTS**

Person Name: Jared Holmgren
Position: Operator
Phone Number: (435) 279-9047

Facility Name: Bear River City Lagoons

Mailing and Facility Address: PO Box 426

Bear River City, UT 84301

Telephone: (435) 279-9047 Actual Address: 5200 West Rd.

Bear River City, UT 84301

# **DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY**

Bear River City (City) is located northwest of Ogden in Box Elder County. The 2010 census showed that there are 853 people who live in the city. The City lagoon system was put into operation in 1974 to treat residential sewage for the City. The design flow of the treatment facility is 0.36 million gallons per day. The treatment facility consists of a pump station, a pressurized 6-inch line, followed by a six-cell facultative lagoon system that has a total containment capacity of 54.4-acre feet, with a surface area of 10.4 acres. The primary cell was designed for 156 pounds of  $BOD_5$  per day with a population equivalent of 916 people. The facility has the ability to discharge to the Malad River.

In November 2015, the City completed construction of a 12-million-gallon land application reservoir. The reservoir is located on private property with an agreement of first right to purchase with the city. The reservoir is fenced and will be signed before beginning operation. The reservoir holds treatment plant effluent for land application by agricultural irrigation on the property adjoining to the East. No crops for human consumption will be grown with the treated effluent. Prior to discharge into the reservoir, the city has gaseous chlorination.

# **SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS PERMIT**

# **Reuse (Land Application)**

Bear River City conducts land application for irrigation of crop for livestock (Type II reuse). Bear River City began land application in 2016, which was during the last permit cycle. During this past permit cycle Bear River City had frequent exceedances of their *E. coli* limitations. In order to address these violations during this permit cycle they will be required to report reuse monitoring on their monthly DMR, rather than an annual report and the permit includes accelerated *E. coli* sampling if *E. coli* limits are exceeded, with possible cessation of reuse, until *E. coli* limitations can be met. See below for *E. coli* accelerated sampling language:

If *E.coli* sampling exceeds effluent limitations, the permittee shall notify the Director within 24 hours and in writing within 5 days after becoming aware of the test result. The permittee shall perform an accelerated schedule of *E. coli* sampling to establish if there is a pattern. Accelerated sampling should begin within 24 hours after the permittee becomes aware of the test result. Accelerated testing is considered to be daily grab sampling of *E. coli*, until 2 consecutive tests are within permit limitations. If *E. coli* limits are exceeded for 5 consecutive tests, Bear River City shall stop reusing until an evaluation can be completed to determine cause of *E. coli* exceedances. Once the cause is resolved and documentation sent to the Director in writing, DWQ staff will review the findings and provide written approval to Bear River City to conduct reuse.

In addition to the changes made to reuse reporting and *E. coli* accelerated testing, weekly grab sampling for Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) was added. Reuse sampling frequency of pH, *E. coli*, and TSS have been increased to weekly, max monthly averages for BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS have been added, and max weekly median *E. coli* limits have been reduced to 126 organisms/100 mL to meet the requirements outlined in R317-3-11.5. The sampling frequencies reflect the minimum allowed for by R317-3-11.5C. 5.

Bear River City water rights approval is required to be submitted to the Division within six months of the issuance of this permit.

# Ammonia (as N) Limit

Based on the wasteload analysis and available Bear River effluent data, effluent limits have been added to this permit. The limits will apply when/if the lagoons discharge to the Malad River. Based on available data there is a possibility that Bear River would not be able to meet the Ammonia (as N) limits in the Winter and Spring. Bear River has not consistently discharged to the Malad since 2015, and has no plans to discharge in the near future. Bear River currently land applies (reuse) their effluent on an adjacent property. If Bear River determines the need to discharge to the Malad in the future and is unable to meet these Ammonia limits, the DWQ will initiate a compliance schedule.

# **DISCHARGE**

# **DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE**

Bear River City is a total reuse facility. Bear River City maintains a UPDES permit in the event that a discharge from their facility to the Malad River is necessary.

Outfall Number(s)	Location of Discharge Outfall(s)
001	Located at <u>latitude</u> 41 ° 35' 58" N and longitude 112° 08' 32" W. The outfall is in a manhole, with a 90 degree, vnotch weir, that flows into an 8" concrete pipe that discharges directly into the Malad River.
002	Located at latitude 41 ° 36' 04" N and longitude 112° 08' 21" W. The outfall of the bottom drain of retention basin flows into a Tributary (ditch), then to the Malad River.
003	Located at latitude 41 ° 36' 03" N and longitude 112° 08' 19" W. The outfall is an emergency overflow spillway from

the retention basin that flows to a tributary (ditch), then to the Malad River.

Location of Effluent Reuse Discharge Outfall(s)

001R <u>Description of Area for Use</u>

Located at latitude 41° 36 ' 04" N and longitude 112° 08' 23" W. The outfall is to a retention basin for land application on the adjacent site.

#### RECEIVING WATERS AND STREAM CLASSIFICATION

If a discharge were to occur, it would discharge to the Malad River, then the Bear River. The Malad River is a Classified as 2B and 3C according to *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13*:

Class 2B -- Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.

Class 3C -- Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

## **TMDL**

The Malad River was not assessed due to insufficient data in *Utah's Final 2016 Integrated Report*. The Malad River is tributary to the Bear River, which is listed as impaired for dissolved oxygen, total dissolved solids, and benthic macroinvertebrates in the 2016 303(d) list.

The Lower Bear River and Tributaries TMDL, which was approved by EPA in 2002, addressed the dissolved oxygen impairment by establishing instream concentration and load allocations for total phosphorous (TP) in the watershed. Point sources identified in the TMDL were Tremonton City, Bear River City, and Corrine City WWTPs. Based on the revised implantation plan Bear River City Lagoons were allocated 3.1 lb/day of TP.

# **Parameter of Concern**

The potential in-stream parameters of concern identified for the discharge/receiving water may include metals, total dissolved solids (TDS), total residual chlorine (TRC), total suspended solids (TSS), dissolved oxygen (DO), BOD, total phosphorus (TP), and pH.

## **BASIS FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS**

## Discharge

Limitations on total suspended solids (TSS), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), *E. coli*, pH and percent removal for BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS are based on current Utah Secondary Treatment Standards, UAC R317-1-3.2. Since Bear River City is a Lagoon, they were granted relaxed BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS limitations, in accordance with R317-1-3.2. The limits for dissolved oxygen, total residual chlorine, and ammonia were taken from the Wasteload Analysis (WLA). Attached is a WLA for this discharge into the Malad River. It has been determined that this discharge will not cause a violation of water quality standards. An Antidegradation Level II review is not required since the facility does not have any proposed changes.

# **Reasonable Potential Analysis**

Since January 1, 2016, DWQ has conducted reasonable potential analysis (RP) on all new and renewal applications received after that date. In order to complete a RP analysis, more than 10 data points per

parameter are needed. Bear River City hasn't discharged to the Malad since initiating reuse, except for a single emergency situation in 2017. For this permit cycle, Bear River will be required to sample, at a minimum, annual metal sampling from Outfall 001, 002, 003 and 001R. If additional sampling is performed, it shall be reported to DWQ. Less than 10 data points may affect the RP outcomes which may require additional monitoring in the future.

The permit limitations for outfall(s) 001, 002, and 003 in Table 1.

Table 1					
	Effluent Limitations Outfall(s) 001, 002, & 003 a,b,				
Parameter	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Maximum	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow, MGD c, d, e	-	-	-		0.36
BOD <sub>5</sub> , mg/L	45	65	-		
TSS, mg/L	45	65			
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L				4.0	
TRC, mg/L				/	0.019
E. coli, No./100mL	126	157	-		
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	22.0				17.8
Fall (Oct-Dec)	3.0	-			14.0
Winter (Jan-Mar)	6.0				17.0
Spring (Apr-Jun)	2.0				6.0
Total Phosphorus (as P), lbs/yr			1,132		
pH, Standard Units				6.5	9
Oil and Grease, mg/L f, g				10.0	
Metals h, i, j					

# **Table 1 References**

- See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- **b.** All parameters in this table will be reported on the monthly Discharge Monitoring Report.
- Flow measurements of effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.
- **d.** If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported.
- e. In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.
- f. There shall be no visible sheen or floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.
- Gil & Grease sampled when sheen is present or visible. If no sheen is present or visible, report 9 under "NODI" in NetDMR
- h. Metals samples should be analyzed using a method that meets MDL requirements. If a test method is not available the permittee must submit documentation to the Director regarding the method that will be used. The sample type (composite or grab) should be performed according to the methods requirements.
- i. Metals are being sampled in support of the work being done for the Reasonable Potential Analysis. The Metal parameters will be monitored and reported on an annual basis by the facility on Discharge Monitoring Report, but will not have a limit associated with them, if Manti decides to sample more frequently for these parameters, the additional data will be required as per Part V.E.

J.	Metal	٦
	vieta	S

Copper	Mercury
Cyanide	Nickel
Lead	Selenium
	Cyanide

Silver Zinc

# **End Table 1 References**

# SELF-MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following self-monitoring requirements are the same as in the previous permit for the discharge. The permit will require reports to be submitted monthly and annually, as applicable, on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms due 28 days after the end of the monitoring period. Effective January 1, 2017, monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception. Lab sheets for biomonitoring must be attached to the biomonitoring DMR. Lab sheets for metals and toxic organics must be attached to the DMRs.

Table 2					
Influent					
Self-Monitorii	ng and Reporting Requi				
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units		
BOD <sub>5</sub>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L		
TSS	Monthly	Composite	mg/L		
Total Phosphorus (as P) <sup>d</sup>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L		
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen					
(as N) <sup>d</sup>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L		

#### **Table 2 References**

- a. See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- **b.** All parameters in this table will be reported on the monthly Discharge Monitoring Report.
- In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.
- **d.** Monitoring only for total phosphorus (TP), orthophosphate as P (OP), total ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen as N (TKN) have been included to comply with Utah Secondary Treatment Standards and the Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent limit rule in *UAC R317-1-3.3*

# **End Table 2 References**

Table 3			
	Outfall 001		
Effluent Self-Mon	itoring and Reporting Re	quirements <sup>a, b</sup>	
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow c, d, e	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD <sub>5</sub>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
BOD <sub>5</sub> Removal	Monthly	Calculated	%
TSS	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
TSS Removal	Monthly	Calculated	%
E. coli	Monthly	Grab	No./100mL
рН	Monthly	Grab	SU
DO	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TRC <sup>f</sup>	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Ammonia	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TDS	Monthly	Composite	Mg/L
Oil & Grease <sup>g, h</sup>	When Sheen Observed	Grab	mg/L
Total Phosphorus (as P) <sup>i</sup>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N) <sup>i</sup>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Orthophosphate (as P) i	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrate, NO3 i	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrite, NO2 i	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Metals <sup>j, k, l</sup>	Quarterly	Composite	mg/L

# **Table 3 References**

- a. See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- **b.** All parameters in this table will be reported on the monthly Discharge Monitoring Report.
- Flow measurements of effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.
- **d.** If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported.
- **e.** In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.
- f. The facility is required to disinfect to destroy, inactivate or remove pathogenic microorganisms by chemical, physical or biological means. Disinfection may be accomplished by chlorination, ozonation, or other chemical disinfectants, UV radiation. Or other approved processes. Chlorine residual is recommended but no longer required. Sampling not required if chlorination is not being used. The total residual chlorine shall be measured continuously and shall at no time be less than 1.0 mg/l after 30 minutes contact time at peak flow. If an alternative disinfection process is used, it must be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Director that the alternative process is comparable to that achieved by chlorination with a 1 mg/l residual after 30 minutes contact time. If the effectiveness cannot be related to chlorination, then the effectiveness of the alternative disinfection process must be demonstrated by testing for pathogen destruction as determined by the Director. A 1 mg/l total chlorine residual is recommended after disinfection and before the treated effluent goes into the distribution system.
- g. There shall be no visible sheen or floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.
- **h.** Oil & Grease sampled when sheen is present or visible. If no sheen is present or visible, report 9 under "NODI" in NetDMR.
- i. Monitoring only for total phosphorus (TP), orthophosphate as P (OP), total ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, and total Kjeldahl nitrogen as N (TKN) have been included to comply with Utah Secondary Treatment Standards and the Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent limit rule in *UAC R317-1-3.3*.

- j. Metals samples should be analyzed using a method that meets MDL requirements. If a test method is not available the permittee must submit documentation to the Director regarding the method that will be used. The sample type (composite or grab) should be performed according to the methods requirements.
- Metals are being sampled in support of the work being done for the Reasonable Potential Analysis. The Metal parameters will be monitored and reported on an annual basis by the facility on Discharge Monitoring Report, but will not have a limit associated with them, if Manti decides to sample more frequently for these parameters, the additional data will be required as per Part V.E.
- l. Metals

Arsenic	Copper	Mercury	Silver
Cadmium	Cyanide	Nickel	Zinc
Total Chromium	Lead	Selenium	

# **End Table 3 References**

## Reuse

Limitations for reuse are based on Type II reuse requirements in R317-3-11.5. Since Bear River City is a Lagoon system they have been granted relaxation of their  $BOD_5$  and TSS limitations, in accordance with R317-1-3.2. This reflects the same limits as the last permit cycle with the addition of a max monthly average limitation to be consistent with R317-3-11.5.

The permit limitations for Outfall 001R (Reuse) in Table 4.

		·			
Table 4					
	Type II Reuse Outfall 001R Effluent Limitations a, b				ı, b
Parameter	Max Monthly	Max Weekly	Max Daily	Minimum	Maximum
	Average	Median	Average	Millimin	Maximum
$BOD_5$	45	65			
TSS	45	65		-	
E. coli, No/100mL		126			500
pH, Standard Units				6.0	9.0

#### **Table 4 References**

- a. See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- **b.** All parameters in this table will be reported on the monthly Discharge Monitoring Report.

# **End Table 4 References**

# **Reuse Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements**

The permit will require reports to be submitted monthly and annually, as applicable, on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) and submitted using NetDMR. DMRs are due by the 28<sup>th</sup> day of the following month. Lab sheets for metals must be attached to the DMRs.

The following Type II reuse self-monitoring and reporting requirements have changed in order to be consistent with requirements in R317-3-11.5. The sampling frequencies reflect the minimum allowed for by R317-3-11.5.C.5. and the BOD<sub>5</sub> and TSS limits reflect the relaxation allowed for lagoons in R317-1-3.2.

Table 5					
	Outfall 002R				
Self-Moni	toring and Reporting Req	uirements <sup>a, b, c</sup>			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units		
Applied Flow d	Continuous	Recorder	MGD		
Irrigated Acreage	Monthly	Estimated	mg/L		
$BOD_5$	Monthly	Composite	mg/L		
TSS	Weekly	Composite	mg/L		
E. coli <sup>e</sup>	Weekly	Grab	No./100mL		
рН	Weekly	Grab	SU		
TRC <sup>f</sup>	Weekly	Grab	mg/L		
Total Inorganic Nitrogen	Monthly	Grab	mg/L		
Metals <sup>g, h, i</sup>	Annually	Comp/Grab	mg/L		
Cell Depth	Monthly	Measure	Feet		
Free Board	Monthly	Measure	Feet		

## **Table 5 References**

- a. See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- All parameters in this table will be reported on the monthly Discharge Monitoring Report.
- **c.** Effluent shall only be disposed of by methods allowed by R317-3-11.5.A.
- d. Flow measurements of effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.
- e. If *E.coli* sampling exceeds effluent limitations, the permittee shall notify the Director within 24 hours and in writing within 5 days after becoming aware of the test result. The permittee shall perform an accelerated schedule of *E. coli* sampling to establish if there is a pattern. Accelerated sampling should begin within 24 hours after the permittee becomes aware of the test result. Accelerated testing is considered to be daily grab sampling of *E. coli*, until 2 consecutive tests are within permit limitations. If *E. coli* limits are exceeded for 5 consecutive tests, Bear River City shall stop reusing until an evaluation can be completed to determine cause of *E. coli* exceedances. Once the cause is resolved and documentation sent to the Director in writing, DWQ staff will review the findings and provide written approval to Bear River City to conduct reuse.
- f. The facility is required to disinfect to destroy, inactivate or remove pathogenic microorganisms by chemical, physical or biological means. Disinfection may be accomplished by chlorination, ozonation, or other chemical disinfectants, UV radiation. Or other approved processes. Chlorine residual is recommended but no longer required. Sampling not required if chlorination is not being used. The total residual chlorine shall be measured continuously and shall at no time be less than 1.0 mg/l after 30 minutes contact time at peak flow. If an alternative disinfection process is used, it must be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Director that the alternative process is comparable to that achieved by chlorination with a 1 mg/l residual after 30 minutes contact time. If the effectiveness cannot be related to chlorination, then the effectiveness of the alternative disinfection process must be demonstrated by testing for pathogen destruction as determined by

Silver

Zinc

- the Director. A 1 mg/l total chlorine residual is recommended after disinfection and before the treated effluent goes into the distribution system.
- Metals samples should be analyzed using a method that meets MDL requirements. If a test method is not available the permittee must submit documentation to the Director regarding the method that will be used. The sample type (composite or grab) should be performed according to the methods requirements.
- h. Metals are being sampled in support of the work being done for the Reasonable Potential Analysis. The Metal parameters will be monitored and reported on an annual basis by the facility on Discharge Monitoring Report, but will not have a limit associated with them, if Manti decides to sample more frequently for these parameters, the additional data will be required as per Part V.E.

i. Metals

Arsenic Copper Mercury
Cadmium Cyanide Nickel
Total Chromium Lead Selenium

#### **End Table 5 References**

Table 6			
Land Application per Crop Type <sup>a</sup>			
Crop Type	List of crops grown on each site		
Crop Harvest (tons/yr)	As measured based on harvest records		
	Land treated process water effluent was applied based on		
Land Application Area (acres)	application area		
Number of Days per Season	Estimated (about 180 days/growing season)		

#### **Table 6 References**

**a.** Land Application Reports shall be summarized per crop type and submitted annually, no later than January 28<sup>th</sup> of the month following the completed reporting period.

# **End Table 6 References**

## **Lagoon Best Management Practices:**

- 1) The permittee shall take such parameters as are necessary to maintain and operate the facility in a manner that will minimize upsets and ensure stable operating conditions.
- 2) The permittee shall visually inspect, at least weekly, the pond(s) to determine if there is adequate freeboard to minimize the likelihood of an accidental discharge occurring. If it is determined that a discharge is occurring and/or there is not adequate freeboard, the appropriate corrective measures shall be taken immediately.
- 3) The permittee shall take precautions and have erosion control measures in place that, in the event of a bypass of treatment, the discharge will not cause erosion into the Waters of the State.

# **Management Practices for Land Application of Treated Effluent:**

- (1) The application of treated effluent to frozen, ice-covered, or snow covered land is prohibited.
- (2) No person shall apply treated effluent where the slope of the site exceeds 6 percent.
- (3) The use should not result in a surface water runoff.
- (4) The use must not result in the creation of an unhealthy or nuisance condition, as determined by the local health department.
- (5) Any irrigation with treated effluent must be at least 300 feet from a potable well.
- (6) For Type I reuse, any irrigation must be at least 50 feet from any potable water well.
- (7) For Type II reuse, any irrigation must be at least 300 feet from any potable water well.
- (8) For Type II reuse, spray irrigation must be at least 100 feet from areas intended for

- public access. This distance may be reduced or increased by the Director.
- (9) Impoundments of treated effluent, if not sealed, must be at least 500 feet from any potable well.
- (10) Public access to effluent storage and irrigation or disposal sites shall be restricted by a stock-tight fence or other comparable means which shall be posted and controlled to exclude the public (Compliance Schedule for a Particular Parameter if necessary).

# **BIOSOLIDS**

The State of Utah has adopted the 40 CFR 503 federal regulations for the disposal of sewage sludge (biosolids) by reference. However, since this facility is a lagoon, there is not any regular sludge production. Therefore 40 CFR 503 does not apply at this time. In the future, if the sludge needs to be removed from the lagoons and is disposed in some way, the Division of Water Quality must be contacted prior to the removal of the sludge to ensure that all applicable state and federal regulations are met

# PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

Bear River will not be required to develop an approved pretreatment program. This decision is based on the following: the flow through the plant is less than five (5) MGD, there are no known Significant Industrial Users discharging to the Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW), and the POTW has not discharged in the last three years. Although Bear River does not have to develop an approved pretreatment program, any wastewater discharged to a POTW from an Industrial User (IU) is subject to Federal, State, and local regulations. Per Section 307 of the Clean Water Act, Bear River must comply with all applicable Federal General Pretreatment Regulations promulgated, found in 40 CFR 403 and the State Pretreatment Requirements found in UAC R317-8-8.

An industrial waste survey (IWS) is required per Part II of the permit. The IWS is to assess the need for pretreatment assistance. Based on a search of the service area and information stated in the application, the IWS is not required at this time. Bear River must notify DWQ per the requirements of the permit if an IU begins to discharge or an existing IU changes its discharge or process. Notification must be provided, by submitting information regarding the IU, no later than sixty days following the introduction or change as stated in Part II of the permit. Information and forms to assist with the IWS can be found in Attachment I of this document.

Sampling is not required for metals and toxic organic chemicals per the requirements of Part II of the permit. At this time, local limits have not been and are not required to be developed by Bear River. Although, Bear River is required to submit any local limits that are developed in the future for review and approval by the Director. Approval must be provided by the Director before the local limits are implemented by Bear River. If local limits are developed, it is required that Bear River perform an annual evaluation of the need to revise or develop technically based local limits for pollutants of concern, to implement the general and specific prohibitions 40 CFR, Part 403.5(a) and Part 403.5(b). This evaluation may indicate that present local limits are sufficiently protective, need to be revised, or should be developed.

# **BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

A nationwide effort to control toxic discharges where effluent toxicity is an existing or potential concern is regulated in accordance with the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit and Enforcement

Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity Control (biomonitoring), dated February 2018. Authority to require effluent biomonitoring is provided in Permit Conditions, UAC R317-8-4.2, Permit Provisions, UAC R317-8-5.3 and Water Quality Standards, UAC R317-2-5 and R317 -2-7.2.

The permittee is a minor municipal facility that primarily land applies effluent, in which toxicity is neither an existing concern, nor likely to be present. Bear River City does not have any categorical industrial users, so there is no reasonable potential for toxicity in the permittee's discharge (per State of Utah Permitting and Enforcement Guidance Document for WET Control). As such, there will be no numerical WET limitations or WET monitoring requirements in this permit. However, the permit will contain a toxicity limitation re-opener provision that allows for modification of the permit should additional information indicate the presence of toxicity in the discharge.



# PERMIT DURATION

It is recommended that this permit be effective for a duration of five (5) years.

Drafted by
Leanna Littler, Discharge
Jennifer Robinson, Pretreatment
Lonnie Shull, Biomonitoring
Sarah Leavitt Ward, Reuse
Suzan Tahir, Wasteload Analysis
Utah Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300

# **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Began: Month Day, Year Ended: Month Day, Year

Comments will be received at: 195 North 1950 West

PO Box 144870

Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

The Public Notice of the draft permit was posted on the Division of Water Quality's Public Notice Page: <a href="https://deq.utah.gov/public-notices-archive/water-quality-public-notices#usm">https://deq.utah.gov/public-notices-archive/water-quality-public-notices#usm</a>.

During the public comment period provided under R317-8-6.5, any interested person may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing, if no hearing has already been scheduled. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. All comments will be considered in making the final decision and shall be answered as provided in R317-8-6.12.

## ADDENDUM TO FSSOB

During finalization of the Permit certain dates, spelling edits and minor language corrections were completed. Due to the nature of these changes they were not considered Major and the permit is not required to be re Public Noticed.

## **RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARY**

(Explain any comments received and response sent. Actual letters can be referenced, but not required to be included).





# ATTACHMENT 1

Industrial Waste Survey



# **Industrial Pretreatment Wastewater Survey**



Do you periodically experience any of the following treatment works problems:

foam, floaties or unusual colors

plugged collection lines caused by grease, sand, flour, etc.

discharging excessive suspended solids, even in the winter

smells unusually bad

waste treatment facility doesn't seem to be treating the waste right

Perhaps the solution to a problem like one of these may lie in investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system from industrial users.

An industrial user (IU) is defined as a non-domestic user discharging to the waste treatment facility which meets any of the following criteria:

1. has a lot of process wastewater (5% of the flow at the waste treatment facility or more than 25,000 gallons per work day.)

Examples: Food processor, dairy, slaughterhouse, industrial laundry.

2. is subject to Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards;

Examples: metal plating, cleaning or coating of metals, blueing of metals, aluminum extruding,

circuit board manufacturing, tanning animal skins, pesticide formulating or

packaging, and pharmaceutical manufacturing or packaging,

3. is a concern to the POTW.

Examples: septage hauler, restaurant and food service, car wash, hospital, photo lab, carpet

cleaner, commercial laundry.

All users of the water treatment facility are **prohibited** from making the following types of discharges:

- 1. A discharge which creates a fire or explosion hazard in the collection system.
- 2. A discharge which creates toxic gases, vapor or fumes in the collection system.
- 3. A discharge of solids or thick liquids which creates flow obstructions in the collection system.
- 4. An acidic discharge (low pH) which causes corrosive damage to the collection system.
- 5. Petroleum oil, nonbiodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause problems in the collection system or at the waste treatment facility.
- 6. Waste haulers are prohibited from discharging without permission. (No midnight dumping!)

When the solution to a sewer system problem may be found by investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system discharged from IUs, it's appropriate to conduct an Industrial Waste Survey.

# An Industrial Waste Survey consists of:

# Step 1: Identify Industrial Users

Make a list of all the commercial and industrial sewer connections.

#### Sources for the list:

business license, building permits, water and wastewater billing, Chamber of Commerce, newspaper, telephone book, yellow pages.

# Split the list into two groups:

domestic wastewater only--no further information needed everyone else (IUs)

# Step 2: Preliminary Inspection

Go visit each IU identified on the "everybody else" list.

Fill out the **Preliminary Inspection Form** during the site visit.

# Step 3: Informing the State

Please fax or send a copy of the Preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

# Jennifer Robinson

Division of Water Quality 288 North 1460 West PO Box 144870 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383 Fax: (801) 536-4301

E-mail: jenrobinson@utah.gov

F:\WP\Pretreatment\Forms\IWS.doc

# PRELIMINARY INSPECTION FORM INSPECTION DATE \_\_\_/

Name of Business Address	Person Contacted Phone Number
Auditos	
Description of Business	-
Principal product or service:	
Raw Materials used:	
Production process is: [ ] Batch [ ] Co	ontinuous [ ] Both
Is production subject to seasonal variation of the seasonal production of t	
This facility generates the following types of	of wastes (check all that apply):
1.   Domestic wastes	(Restrooms, employee showers, etc.)
2. [ ] Cooling water, non-contact	3. [ ] Boiler/Tower blowdown
4. [ ] Cooling water, contact	5. [ ] Process
6. [ ] Equipment/Facility washdown	7. [ ] Air Pollution Control Unit
8. [ ] Storm water runoff to sewer	9. [ ] Other describe
Wastes are discharged to (check all that ap	oply):
[ ] Sanitary sewer [	Storm sewer
Surface water	Ground water
	Evaporation
Other (describe)	
Name of waste hauler(s), if used	
Is a grease trap installed? Yes No	
Is it operational? Yes No	
25 10 Sportmonus. 105 110	
Does the business discharge a lot of process	s wastewater?
<ul> <li>More than 5% of the flow to the was</li> </ul>	ste treatment facility? Yes No
• More than 25,000 gallons per work	day? Yes No

Does the business do any of the following:	
<ul> <li>Adhesives</li> <li>Aluminum Forming</li> <li>Battery Manufacturing</li> <li>Copper Forming</li> <li>Electric &amp; Electronic Components</li> <li>Explosives Manufacturing</li> <li>Foundries</li> <li>Inorganic Chemicals Mfg. or Packaging</li> <li>Industrial Porcelain Ceramic Manufacturing</li> <li>Iron &amp; Steel</li> <li>Metal Finishing, Coating or Cleaning</li> <li>Mining</li> <li>Nonferrous Metals Manufacturing</li> <li>Organic Chemicals Manufacturing or Packaging</li> <li>Paint &amp; Ink Manufacturing</li> <li>Pesticides Formulating or Packaging</li> <li>Petroleum Refining</li> <li>Pharmaceuticals Manufacturing or Packaging</li> <li>Plastics Manufacturing</li> <li>Rubber Manufacturing</li> <li>Soaps &amp; Detergents Manufacturing</li> <li>Steam Electric Generation</li> <li>Tanning Animal Skins</li> <li>Textile Mills</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>[ ] Car Wash</li> <li>[ ] Carpet Cleaner</li> <li>[ ] Food Processor</li> <li>[ ] Hospital</li> <li>[ ] Laundries</li> <li>[ ] Photo Lab</li> <li>[ ] Restaurant &amp; Food Service</li> <li>[ ] Septage Hauler</li> <li>[ ] Slaughter House</li> </ul>
Are any process changes or expansions planned during to If yes, attach a separate sheet to this form describing the expansions.	·
	Inspector

**Waste Treatment Facility** 

Please send a copy of the preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

Jennifer Robinson Division of Water Quality PO Box 144870 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383 Fax: (801) 536-4301

E-Mail: jenrobinson@utah.gov

	Industrial User	Jurisdiction	SIC Codes	Categorical Standard Number	Total Average Process Flow (gpd)	Total Average Facility Flow (gpd)	Facility Description
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							



# **ATTACHMENT 2**

Effluent Monitoring Data



	Bear River Effluent Data 001A																		
	Parameter	Flow	BOD <sub>5</sub> mg/L	BOD₅ ,mg/L	TSS	TSS	E. coli No./100 mL	E. coli, No./100 mL	pН	pН	DO	Oil & Grease	TRC, mg/L	TDS	Total P	Orthopho sphate	Ammonia	Nitrate-Nitrite	TKN
2014	Month	Daily Max	Max Weekly Avg.	Max Monthly Avg.	Max Weekly A	vg. Max Monthly Avg.	Max Weekly Avg	Iax Monthly Avg. Ma	Daily Min	Daily Max	Daily Min	Daily Max	Daily Max						
	Jan	0.18	-	-	-	-	180	180	8.1	8.1					2.2		8.1		
	Feb	0.16	12	12	11	11	720	720	7.9	7.9					2.4		11.8		
	Mar	0.17	16	16	11	11	50	50	8.2	8.2					1.5		8.1		
	Apr	0.16	12	12	14	14	8	8	9.1	9.1					0.84		-		
	May	0.2	11	11	39	39	2	2	8.3	8.3					1.6		3.6		
0	Jun	0.21	16	16	46	46	-	-	8.3	8.3					2.1		3.1		<b></b>
2	Jul	0.19	-	-	-	-	1	1	8.7	8.7					1.7		0.3		<b></b>
	Aug	0.202	-	-	-	-	16	16	9	9	Y .				1.2		0.3		
	Sep	0.22	9	9	12	12	17	17	8.5	8.5					1.5		2.7		
	Oct	0.19	-	-	14	14	4	4	8.3	8.3					1.5		2.7		
	Nov	0.18	-	-	4	4	1	1	8.4	8.4					0.7		0.3		
	Dec	0.195	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.6	8.6		, i			0.7		0.3		<u> </u>
				T	T		1								T				
	Jan	0.285	9	9	14	14	-	-	8.6	8.6					0.7		-		
	Feb	0.26	19	19	20	20	1	1	8.9	8.9					1.3		-		
S	Mar	0.16	13	13	16	16	-	-	8.8	8.8					1		-		
	Apr	0.19	9	9	23	23	3	3	8.8	8.8					1.4		-		
	May	0.225	7	7	10	10	1	1	8.3	8.3	ļ				2.2		2.3		
2015	Jun										<u> </u>								<u> </u>
20	Jul																		igsquare
` `	Aug																		
	Sep	0.21	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.8	8.8	<u> </u>		-		1.3			5	igsquare
	Oct	0.2	-	-	4	4	37	37	8.5	8.5	<u> </u>	-	0	1	1.6	1.5	1.7	-	5
	Nov	0.19	-	-	6	6	1	1	8.6	8.6	<u> </u>	-	-		1.2	1.2	1.2	-	3
	Dec	0.18	9	9	9	9	23	23	7.6	7.6		-	0		2.1	1.9	8.5	8.5	8
								/											
	Jan	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Feb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Mar	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Apr	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2016	May	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
01	Jun	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2(	Jul	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Aug	ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND	ND ND	ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND ND	ND
	Sep	ND				ND					ND	ND		ND			ND		ND
	Oct	ND	ND	ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Nov	ND	ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND	ND		ND ND	ND		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND ND
	Dec	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

	Parameter	Flow	BOD <sub>5</sub> mg/L	BOD <sub>5</sub> ,mg/L	TSS	TSS	E. coli No./100 mL	E. coli, No./100 mL	pН	pН	DO	Oil & Grease	TRC, mg/L	TDS	Total P	Orthophosphate	Ammonia	Nitrate-Nitrite	TKN
	Month	Daily Max	Max Weekly Avg.	Max Monthly Avg.	Max Weekly Avg.	Max Monthly Avg.	Max Weekly Avg	Iax Monthly Avg. Ma	Daily Min	Daily Max	Daily Min	Daily Max	Daily Max						
2017	Jan	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Feb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Mar	0.28	ND	ND	4	4	90	90	8.3	8.3	9.6								
	Apr	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	May	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Jun	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Jul	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Aug	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Sep	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Oct	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Nov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Dec	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Jan	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
118	Feb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Mar	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Apr	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	May	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Jun	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
201	Jul	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Aug	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Sep	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Oct	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Nov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Dec	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
																	ı		
	Jan	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Feb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Mar	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Apr	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
6	May	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Jun	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
20	Jul	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
•	Aug	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Sep	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Oct	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Nov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	Dec	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

<sup>\*</sup>ND=No Discharge

# **ATTACHMENT 3**

Wasteload Analysis



